-----Original Message-----From: John Dickin Sent: 19 July 2019 16:59 To: North Shropshire Reinforcement <<u>NorthShropshireReinforcement@planninginspectorate.gov.uk</u>> Subject: North Shropshire Network Reinforcement Project

Dear Planning Inspectorate,

Having attended compulsory acquisition hearing on 11th July I was asked to briefly out line my concerns regarding the route for S P Energy 132 volt line .

I farm at Stanwardine Grange and have fully engaged with S P Energy over the past three years to try for the best route for environmental needs ,farming impact ,local community. Stanwardine Grange has many individual attributes not found on other land the line crosses as follows ,

- 1) The land is the only organic registered block on the route Soil Association agreement licence no G5028
- The land is in environmental stewardship with Natural England Entry level stewardship agreement Organic level agreement Higher level agreement

Agreement number AG00284783

- 3) Applications for countryside Stewardship in the process with Mid Tier Applications ID number 751979
- 4) Stanwardine Grange is situated adjacent to the largest Comunity on the length of the route except for Oswestry and Wem which are towns .
- 5) Stanwardine Grange is the highest point above sea level on the route

For these reasons we have tried to suggest a route across Stanwardine Grange but unfortunately found it difficult with manner of S P Energy logic to the above issues.

Reasons for objecting to route pole by pole .

- Pole 103 needs falling two trees T109 and T110 , No need to cut down as open hedgerow 40 meters north Trees in environmental scheme with Natural England
- Pole 104 falls in area of field that retains water and was original a pond Concern over poaching of ground and impact of erosion of soil with pole attracting cow to area to rub against
- Pole 105 highest point on route Because route changes direction needs two stays affecting farming activity if line more direct no need for stays
- Pole 106 and 107 these poles are 16 meters high and 160 meter span to

cross 11kv line so are high in sky line against the village have suggested the 11kv line going under ground to reduce impact Pole 108 again two stays because change direction resulting impact on activities when there is no need with suggested route

As you may be a where I have had numerous meetings and corespondents but unable to come to agreement on route , we are 90% there but no movement from S P Energy at present so we find ourselves in this position .

If any more information is required I would be happy to provide relevant maps and pictures plus documents , thank for letting me put my concerns forward and previous statements .

Kind Regards John Dickin